

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Heptonstall
Given Name	Elizabeth
Person ID	1287094
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Heptonstall
Given Name	Elizabeth
Person ID	1287094
Title	JP-H 1 Scale Distribution and Phasing of New Housing Development
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Family Name	Heptonstall
Given Name	Elizabeth
Person ID	1287094
Title	JP-H 2 Affordability of New Housing
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Family Name	Heptonstall
Given Name	Elizabeth
Person ID	1287094
Title	JP-H 3 Type Size and Design of New Housing
Type	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Family Name	Heptonstall
Given Name	Elizabeth
Person ID	1287094
Title	JP-H 4 Density of New Housing
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Family Name	Heptonstall
Given Name	Elizabeth
Person ID	1287094
Title	JPA 32: South of Hyde
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not	Although correct procedure has proably been followed this development will not be in keeping with the local green space and breathing space between boroughs. A relatively small development will not bring in extra school or health care, so strain will be increased on local services. Two estates of this

to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

size will impact on the traffic entering Stockport Road and with them not being within a reasonable walking distance of reliable train services they will increase car traffic.

I think larger, self contained developments, like GGV, are really the way forward when using valuable greenbelt to minimise impact on existing communities. I would rather that there were 400 more houses on the GGV site and this green belt preserved.